## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2004/003224 04.10.2004 03.10.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B62J1/26 **Applicant** SELLE ROYAL SPA 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer** 

Feber, L

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2932

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003224

	•							
_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion						
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>								
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the follow language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ring					
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	Best Available					
	a. type of material:							
	Ε	a sequence listing	N					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	<u>e</u>					
	b. fo	at of material:						
		in written format	<u>0</u>					
		in computer readable form	Copy					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:							
		contained in the international application as filed.	×					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating them has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	reto					
4.	Additional comments:							

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003224

_	Box	No. II	Priority								
1.	⊠	☐ The following document has not been furnished:									
		© copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).									
			translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority h	nas been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).				
	٠	Conse neverth	quently it has not b neless been establi	een possit shed on th	ole to cons	ider the valid	lity of the priority claim. This opinion has relevant date is the claimed priority date.				
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.										
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.										
4.	Add	Additional observations, if necessary:									
•			•	•							
	Вох	No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) w	vith regard to novelty, inventive step or				
	indu	ustrial a	pplicability; citati	ons and e	explanatio	ns supporti	ng such statement				
1.	Stat	ement									
							r.				
·	Nov	elty (N)			Claims	1-20	$\widetilde{\mathbf{o}}$				
				No:	Claims		Best Available Copy				
	Inve	ntive st	ep (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-20					
			-1- ()	No:	Claims	, 20	•				
					<b></b>		$\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}$				
	indu	strial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-20					
				No:	Claims		$\underline{\mathbf{Q}}$				
					•		웃				
	Citor	liana an	al assalan attan				Φ				
2.	Cita	nons an	d explanations								
	see	separa	te sheet				$\sim$				
							ក				
							<b>3</b>				

## Re Item V.

- 1.1 The present application relates to a viscoelastic support structure comprising a gel insert.
- 1.2 The objective technical problem is to improve the damping properties of such a structure.
- 1.3 Claim 1 proposes a gel insert interposed between a covering and a frame and interacting with said frame.
- 1.4 The available prior art documents do not suggest such a solution. In particular, in documents US-B-6290794 or US-A-6131994, the gel insert does not interact with the frame but with another insert located between the frame and the gel insert.
- 1.5 The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore meet the requirements of novelty and inventive step of Article 33.2 and 33.3 PCT.
- 2 Claims 2-20 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.